

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA


NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

To: ☒ U.S. District Judge / ☐ U.S. Magistrate Judge ANDERSON
From: MANILYN DAVIS, Deputy Clerk Date Received: 11/5/07
Case No.: 2:06-cv-7561-PA(CWX) Case Title: TRAFFIC SCHOOL.COM, INC. v. EDRIEVER, INC.
Document Entitled: Defendants' proposed findings of fact & conclusions of law

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), it was noted that the following discrepancies exist:

- ☐ Local Rule 11-3.1 Document not legible
- ☐ Local Rule 11-3.8 Lacking name, address, phone and facsimile numbers
- ☐ Local Rule 11-4.1 No copy provided for judge
- ☐ Local Rule 19-1 Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitiously named parties
- ☐ Local Rule 15-1 Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover
- ☐ Local Rule 11-6 Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages
- ☐ Local Rule 11-8 Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents
- ☐ Local Rule 7.1-1 No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies
- ☐ Local Rule 6.1 Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect
- ☐ Local Rule 56-1 Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking
- ☐ Local Rule 56-2 Statement of genuine issues of material fact lacking
- ☐ Local Rule 7-19.1 Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking
- ☐ Local Rule 16-6 Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel
- ☐ FRCvP Rule 5(d) No proof of service attached to document(s)

☒ Other: Document not signed by counsel



Note: Please refer to the court's Internet website at www.cacd.uscourts.gov for local rules and applicable forms.

ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed and processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the date the document was stamped "received but not filed" with the Clerk. Counsel* is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83-7.

Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

- ☒ The document is **NOT** to be filed, but instead **REJECTED**, and is ORDERED returned to *counsel. *Counsel shall immediately notify, in writing, all parties previously served with the attached documents that said documents have not been filed with the Court.

Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

*The term "counsel" as used herein also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.

ORIGINAL

BRIAN M. DAUCHER, Cal. Bar No. 174212
ROBERT S. BEALL, Cal. Bar. No. 132016
JOSEPH H. TADROS, Cal. Bar. No. 239379
ASHLEY E. MERLO, Cal. Bar No. 247997
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

A Limited Liability Partnership
Including Professional Corporations

650 Town Center Drive, 4th Floor
Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925
Telephone: (714) 513-5100
Facsimile: (714) 513-5130
bdaucher@sheppardmullin.com
jtadros@sheppardmullin.com

RECEIVED
BUT NOT FILED

NOV - 5 2007

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION
BY *MAE* DEPUTY

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRAFFICSCHOOL.COM, INC., a
California corporation; DRIVERS ED
DIRECT, LLC., a California limited
liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EDRIVER, INC., ONLINE GURU,
INC., FIND MY SPECIALIST, INC.,
and SERIOUSNET, INC., California
corporations; RAVI K. LAHOTI, an
individual; DOES 1 through 10.

Defendants.

Case No. CV067561 PA (CWx)
The Hon. Percy Anderson

**DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED
FINDINGS OF FACT AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Trial Date: October 30, 2007
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Crtrm: 15

[Complaint Filed November 28, 2006]

RECEIVED AND RETURNED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NOV - 9 2007

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY

Red = Disputed
Blue = Agreed
Yellow = Irrelevant